MOLLER Collaboration Meeting May 05-06, 2023

Scattered Beam Monitors (SBM) and Scanner Detectors (SD)

Devi L. Adhikari – May 06, 2023 Virginia Tech Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

<u>VT manpower</u> Mark Pitt Devi Adhikari Andrew Gunsch Daniel Valmassei










MOLLER Apparatus Overview



Scattered beam monitors (SBMs):

V7

Jefferson Lab

- Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) 7
- Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) 8
- Diffuse Beam Monitors (DBMs) 14 DBM boxes
 - Integrating Cherenkov detectors
 - Sensitive to potential false asymmetry from rescattered background

05/06/22

Scanner Detectors (SDs)

- Upstream Scanner 1
 - Scans in two dimensions
 - Counting and integrating mode Cherenkov detectors
- Downstream Scanners 4
 - Each scanner scans radially in one dimension
 - Integrating Cherenkov detectors

MOLLER Detectors

Scattered beam monitors (SBMs):

- Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) 7
- Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) 8
- Diffuse Beam Monitors (DBMs) 14 DBM boxes
 - Integrating Cherenkov detectors
 - Sensitive to potential false asymmetry from rescattered background

Scanner Detectors (SDs):

- Upstream Scanner 1
 - Scans in two dimensions
 - Counting and integrating mode Cherenkov detectors
- Downstream Scanners 4


Jefferson Lab

V7

* Each scanner scans radially in one dimension

05/06/22

Integrating Cherenkov detectors



Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) Requirements

Large angle, high rate, and small asymmetry

V7

Jefferson Lab

"Null" asymmetry monitors as a check of helicity-correlated beam correction procedure

 $e^{-/\pi}$ (E>1 MeV) XY dist. on det174 (LH2_beam_V40)

- Monitor for potential false asymmetries from rescattered backgrounds
- Accepted flux is dominated by e-p elastic radiative tail
- Total rate gives stat. width ~3.3 x Ring 5 (main physics); smaller (7 vs. 32 ppb) asymmetry

05/06/22

	Process	Rate (GHz)	<a> (ppb)	<e> (GeV)</e>
	Møller	10.5	10	1.3
	Elastic ep	21.2	4	1.1
,	Inelastic ep	0.1	332	
2	Total	31.8	7	

e-/ π - (KE>1 MeV) radial dist. at LAM plane y (mm) GHz/64.00mm² beam Møller peak moller 2 (GHz)/7 epel = 250mm LAM W = 165 mmepinel 10 radial 1031.5mm rate (LAM1=4.72 GHz moller+epel+epinel coverage 0.5 LAM2=4.50 GHz 0.06 LAM3=4.44 GHz LAM4=4.39 GHz LAM5=4.40 GHz LAM6=4.66 GHz 0.04 -0.5 ep peak LAM7=4.64 GHz Total=31.75 GHz 0.02 -1.5 200 600 1000 400 800 1200 1400 0.5 1.5 2 Radius (mm) x (mm)


Devi L. Adhikari

4

Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) Design


- Seven modules; one in each open sector
- Collar 2 blocks particles scattered (mostly secondaries) at large angles, has two rings made of lead
- Quartz radiator $\rightarrow 25 \times 16.5 \times 1$ cm³, zero bounce design (no need of lightguide)
- LAM quartz sits in between collar 2 outer and inner rings
- PMTs and bevel part of quartz will be behind the shadow of collar 2 outer ring

05/06/22



Jefferson Lab

V7





5

PMT

window


Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) Design

- Each LAM \rightarrow quartz radiator (25×16.5×1 cm³) and two ET 9305 QKB PMTs
- 3D printed exoskeleton and no lightguide
- PMT housing will be redesigned to encase only PMT and base; will use standalone pre-amplifier
- Prototype construction underway; initial testing with UVT lucite in place of quartz to allow for cosmic ray testing of light yield
- Primary rate of 2 GHz @ 29 PE/event/phototube
- $I_{cathode} \sim 9 \text{ nA}$; @PMT gain = 540; $I_{anode} \sim 5 \mu \text{A}$
- I-V preamp, ~0.5 M Ω gain, 2.5 V output
- Similar operating conditions as main detector Ring 5



05/06/22

	PARTS LIST							
ITEM	QTY	PART NAME	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION				
1	1	LAM Tray	ABS Plastic	3D Printed				
2	1	Lams quartz crystal	Quartz	Spectrosil-2000				
3	1	LAM Tray Bottom	ABS Plastic	3D Printed				
		Cover						
4	2	LAM LG PMT Interface	ABS Plastic	3D Printed				
5	2	PMTHousing	Aluminum housing	The housing will be				
			includes ET 9305QKB	similar to the main				
			PMT and base	detector housing				
				with an exception				
				that the				
				pre-amplifier will be				
				standalone				



6

Devi L. Adhikari




Jefferson Lab

Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) Requirements

- Small lab scattering angle $\sim 0.1^{\circ}$ (50 mm 66 mm radial distance)
- Small quartz block (1.6 x 2.0 x 0.6 cm³), air-core light guide, and PMT (Hamamatsu R375)
- High rate ~450 GHz per SAM, rate depends on at with azimuth the SAM is located
- Small asymmetry ~3 ppb, order of magnitude smaller than main Møller asymmetry
- "Null" asymmetry monitors as a check of helicity-correlated beam correction procedure
- Monitor for potential false asymmetries from rescattered backgrounds

05/06/22



Devi L. Adhikari

7


Jefferson Lab

Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) Design and Radiation Damage Concern


- Interfaced to downstream beampipe
- 8 SAMs symmetric around azimuth


Jefferson Lab

- The total dose for 8256 hours of production running was estimated in simulation in two different ways:
 - 170 Grad (MIP Energy Deposition method)
 - 140 Grad (Energy Deposit in Quartz)
 - Choose 170 Grad to be conservative
- Q_{weak} "SAM" quartz had dose of ~35 Grad with no evidence of damage
- ~57 Grad dose per year for MOLLER production running
 - New quartz replacement at the beginning of each calendar year can mitigate the risk of damage
 - SAM PE yield could drop from ~8 PE to ~1 PE and the detectors would still satisfy their requirements



05/06/22






8

Devi L. Adhikari

Dose from MIP energy deposition


Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) Design Details



Diffuse Beam Monitors (DBMs)


- "Shadow" of lead collar 2 will have no flux from primary interactions in target only secondary diffuse background is observed here
- The location just upstream of main detector array satisfies the requirements for diffuse beam monitor detectors
- Locate 14 DBM boxes: one bare ET 9305 QKB PMT and one PMT attached to quartz block 10 x 7.1 x 1.0 cm³ with SES406 (Shin-Etsu) optical glue in open and closed sectors
- Rate in each quartz DBM detector ~36 MHz during production running, dominated by secondary interactions

Scattered electron flux at MD and DBM plane






Devi L. Adhikari



10

Upstream 2D Scanner Requirements

- Measure the scattered rate distribution in a sector (or combination of two sectors to make a complete one) at low and high beam currents; verify they are the same; monitor stability of kinematics and backgrounds
- Operates in counting and integrating modes
- Full scan in < 1 hour
- Can monitor for shifts ~0.5 mm in the profile, which could happen from a drift of 10⁻³ in the B*dl of the spectrometer field
- Can provide a more regular (if needed) monitor of the stability of the profile than the full tracking system which will only be deployed every few weeks



Jefferson Lab



Devi L. Adhikari


11

Upstream 2D Scanner Design and Expected Rate

• A preliminary design uses the concept from Q_{weak} (1×1 cm² quartz tile)

05/06/22


- Monitor scattered rate distribution for combination of two sectors at low and high beam currents
- Will see a rate up to $\sim 2.62 \text{ MHz}/\mu\text{A}$
- Ferrous material content in the scanner motor and potential background in main detectors was studied and found to be non-issue
 - 2×10³






Rate Profile in Downstream Linear Scanners Requirements

- Use magnet off spectrometer with thick carbon target
- Use to do a beam-based alignment verification of the acceptance defining collimator (collimator 2)
- Sharp transition of e-/ π rate around 650 mm radius is due to the acceptance defining collimator (collimator 2) cutoff



Downstream Linear Scanner Design

- Four 1-D scanners scan radially 55 75 cm at four azimuthal locations (open sectors)
- It uses 1×1 cm² quartz tile
- Air-core lightguide and ET 9305 QKB PMT
- Velmex sliding motion stage for linear motion
- Will be parked at larger radii when not in use






Jefferson Lab



Summary

- The scattered beam monitors can check for potential false asymmetries from rescattered background
- The upstream scanner can monitor for a small drift in spectrometer field
- The downstream scanners will monitor for potential misalignment of collimator 2
- Prototype construction is underway with testing starting now













LAM Acceptance Changes from Before


Old collar 1 dimensions April 2022: R_{US}^{IN} = 605.8789, R_{US}^{OUT} = 755.8659, R_{DS}^{IN} = 616.0770, R_{DS}^{OUT} = 755.8659


e-/ π - (KE>1 MeV) XY dist. at LAM plane

New collar 1 dimensions April 2023: R_{US}^{IN} = 550.00, R_{US}^{OUT} = 755.8659, R_{DS}^{IN} = 563.12, R_{DS}^{OUT} = 755.8659

Process	Rate (GHz)	<a> (ppb)	<e> (GeV)</e>
Møller	19	10	1.8
Elastic ep	76 0 ¹⁰	<mark>ي</mark> 4	1.4
Inelastic ep	0.2 🧖	332	
Total	95	6	

e-/ π - (KE>1 MeV) radial dist. at LAM plane





(1031.5<=r<=1196.5) Energy dist. on det174 (LH2_beam_V40)