# Collar 1 Optimization Ryan Richards



Bellows 4 Region. Collar 1 must keep rays off bellows 4 and connection pipe



Bellows 4 region z positions and diameters. This is what was simulated

# **Optimization Strategy**

- Simulated 100 M beam events with IR = 613 on the US face of collar 1. The DS face of collar 1 had IR = 623 (3.8 degree taper). The OR for both faces is ~ 756 mm. These were not changed.
- We want to design collar 1 to minimize its background contribution on detector 28 as well as keep rays of bellows 4 and the connection pipe. Of course, we want to optimize the Moller flux as well.

#### Radial Hit Distributions of What Hits Collar 1 Entrance Plane

Electrons

Photons



Red Line: Maximum inner radius (IR = 613 mm) that keeps the background from the bellows 4 region. It is also the maximum of the incident flux, suggesting to use a smaller IR without cutting useful signal

# What hits collar 1 Angle and Energy

Before optimizing the inner radius on the front face of collar 1, we optimized the taper to minimize the edge scattering.

Cut +/- 1 cm around the peak  $\rightarrow$  1 GeV charged particles incident at 4.5°



Initial idea is to put the taper at the peak and scan around that as well

# Studying the Taper

	Taper = $4.5 \text{ deg}$	Taper = $5 \text{ deg}$		
KE < 1 MeV	1,115	1,111		
KE 1-10 MeV	4,885	4,921		
KE 10-100 MeV	12,384	11,121		
KE 0.1-1 GeV	4,556	3,730		
KE 1-10 GeV	8	8		
KE > 10 GeV	0	0		
Total	22,928	20,891		

Looped over primaries many times to evaluate what was making Ring 5.

Looked at other angles as well-5<sup>0</sup> was consistently the best

 $\sim$  1.6% of the Moller Rate (too big)

Photon rate is about 10x larger

#### What kind of Background?



See that it's slit scattering at the edge and not punchthrough, so collar 1 is thick enough

Chose 5<sup>0</sup> taper

#### Mollers, ep visible in angle vs R at collar 1, with beam gen



IR =550 on front face of collar 1 seems like a good choice without removing irradiated Moller rate and keeping most of signal in Ring 6

With IR = 550  $\rightarrow$  0.3% background in Ring 5. Started with ~ 1.6%

#### How would Shrinking the Collar 1 IR affect the Moller Rate?



These are the e+/e- hitting collar 1 entrance using the Moller generator. Vertical axis is angle, horizontal axis is the radius

Here I cut on trackID == 1 or 2. Seems like we wouldn't lose much rate. We tried to predict the rate as a function of r at collar 1

#### Moller/ep Generator Results with IR = 550 and 5° Taper

	Rates (GHz) IR = 623 (Moller)	Rates (GHz) IR = 550 (Moller)	Rates (GHz) IR = 613 (ep Gen)	Rates (GHz) IR = 550 (ep Gen)
Ring 2	0.0108	0.040	18.189	17.875
Ring 5	117.309	117.549	8.203	8.284
Ring 6	21.505	19.141	8.757	7.04831

Cut on primary tracks i.e. track ID = 1 or 2 at Detector 28 2.36 GHz of Moller rate and 1.71 GHz in ep rate lost in Ring 6

#### Summary and Next Steps

- Proposing collar 1 have IR = 550 mmon US end with 5 degree taper(  $\sim 0.3\%$  charged background along the edge with little to no rate in Ring 5). Increase in mass ( $\sim \frac{1}{2}$  ton, this is ok)
- Exploring what to do with OR of collar 1.
- Seeing like 0.3% background from stuff coming over the top of collar 1
- Photon backgrounds

# Backups

#### Collar 1 Proposed Design

Outer Radius = 755.8659 mm





Simulated 100M beam events with the collar 1 IR = 550,  $5^0$  taper. Here I am showing the hit vertex z for everything that hits ring 5. Cutting on the vertex for the collar 1 region (**right plot**),

1/3300 beam electrons is a Moller, 1e8 beam electrons so 30,303 Mollers, 84 from collar 1 -> 0.28%