Technical Update



Kent Paschke







- Coil dose and shielding (JM, SR)
- Photon background investigation
- Beamline charged background optimization (PG)
- MD tiling verification / optimization (ZD, CG, MG)
- MOLLER GEMs prototyping
- Compton electron detector progress



Progress

- Ferrous material fasteners, supports... (EK)
- Sieve design (VD,KE)
- Accelerator talk organization, PQB meeting coordination
- Injector upgrade (gun and booster)
- SAM and LAM designs (DA)



Simulation Fidelity











The two-bounce code has been updated to match the CAD and verify (in a 2-d model) we aren't introducing 2-bounce line-ofsight backgrounds

> Sakib and Luc Barrette docdb:1032

Photon background sources in remoll have been identified these are due to charged particle interactions. Some reductions were sought there also.

Two Bounce







Ferrous Materials Rule of Thumb

Eric King will discuss recent ferrous sim

Estimate false asymmetry
$$A_f$$
 as
 $A_f = f_r P_e P_s A_n$
 f_r rate fraction of process
 P_e incident electron polarization
 P_s material electron polarization
 A_n analyzing power
Goal: $A_f < 10^{-12}$

X_{r}	Example material	Spin polarization	Allowable f (per eot)	Allowable Moller
2000	Mild steel	1e-2	1e-11	1e-7
1	Stainless steel (worst case) e.g. 304	1e-5	1e-8	1e-4
0.01	Stainless steel (best case) e.g. 316	1e-7	1e-6	1e-2
0.001	Inconel 625 Bronze/brass(best case)	1e-8	1e-5	1e-1
0.0001	Aluminum, titanium	1e-9	1e-4	1

In regions with applied field, we need very low scattering rates and/or very low relative susceptibility

In ambient field, one rarely needs to be better than (good) stainless. (Total rescattered background should be few 1e-3)

We get away with magnetic steel at low fields only when protected by

Assumptions: 1G ambient field, $A_p = 1e-3$, Moller rate 1e-4/eot.

shielding and/or field and/or distance from detector







Backgrounds



Bellows 4 & Connection Pipe

Unexpected rescattering backgrounds were observed from two regions:

- Bellows 4 and its connection to the drift pipe, and Collar 1
- from Collimators 6a and 6b





Bellows 4 and Collar 1



Along the way, noticed something odd in the elastic generator

- Reduced length and maximized radius at bellows 4 / drift pipe connection
- Used Collar 1 to shield this connection
- Placed Collar 1 inner radius edge to minimize rescattering

Ryan Richards

Simulation (elastic ep) Issue



docdb:1044 Sakib, Wouter, Sayak, + others...

Kent Paschke

JNIVERSITY VIRGINIA In the elastic ep generator (and only for that generator) there were zero events with radiated beam momentum < 2 GeV

The radiative tail is what causes most of the rescattered background, so this is a significant issue

This was introduced when the carbon generator was added in Oct 2021(?). This shouldn't have changed anything! but inherited static data elements made defaults unreliable.

Easy solution is to set the important parameters explicitly when using remoll



Ç

IS

Collimator 6a and 6b





Col 6a

















Collimator 6a and 6b



Balance: protecting all MD rings, and the drift pipe (to avoid rescattering) with avoiding excessive rescattering from 6a/6b themselves

Fringe fields along the beamline pulls out flux between the coils Beamline collimators at the end of Hybrid-TM4 are needed to catch these

Prakash Gautam





- Jhih-Ying has implemented the geometry of the barite wall, collar 2, MD PMT shielding, shower max, and pion donut
- The initial results don't have a proper implementation of the magnetic field and we are investigating ways to improve it
- The dump diffuser is a clear source, but that will have to be compared to the activation of the tungsten inside the shower max and the lead in the pion donut





Kent Paschke

FLUKA



MD Tiling and Deconvoution





Michael Gericke has provided quartz geometry for remoll

Zuhal has been evaluating this in the deconvolution to verify the design geometry

Sums for all rings and sectors for primary e E>1 MeV





<<Date>>

Injector Upgrade

- New 200 kV (instead of 130 kV)
- New Booster
- Additional BPMS

Testing with parity DAQ in June/July









Kent Paschke







Technical Design Report

Section leads: Krishna Kumar, KDP, Mark Pitt, Caryn Palatchi, Silviu Covrig, Juliette Mammei, Michael Gericke, David Armstrong, Paul King, Don Jones, Ciprian Gal, Jim Fast

TDR-Draft got us through the CD3A review.

The document is essentially complete. Some readability editing remains.

Collaboration review at the end of this month, final publication in mid-June.

Goal: a concise but comprehensive description of the technical design demonstrating it will meet the physics goals

Continuing work

Complete final checks and get CD3a elements out ASAP

Quality Assurance is a project responsibility, but the physicists need to stay engaged. Encourage vigilance, and support with rapid, agile simulation or analysis to determine best path forward for non-compliant components

Beyond that: still no time for redesign, but a little more time to check and detail elements

Examples: • Collar 2

- Barite wall structure
- Detector tiling



Next steps

As design is finalized and we move to procurement, we can (must!) spend more time on achieving the physics goals with the hardware we have designed

- Simulations don't stop when the parts are ordered
 - continue to refine optics calibration and alignment plans
 - continued background studies, including deconvolution and failure mode testing
 - beam parameter sensitivities
 - activation studies
- Polarized beam and beam monitor test plans.
- Designing and writing analysis code, online and offline

Revive half-day collaboration "Forums" for in-depth coverage of individual subystems or topics

• Polarimetry - design for use / optimization, testing, analysis code, maybe even analysis of data?

