US magnet hotspot

Ciprian Gal (on behalf of Sakib, Chandan, Juliette, Kent, KK)

Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science

Stony Brook University

Problem as presented last week

- In the course of the full evaluation the energy deposition on the epoxy in the upstream torus Sakib discovered that the equivalent dose on the bottom of the coil reached a level of 320 MGy
- As a first step took a very careful look at the potential sources of scattering from low radius (close to the z axis)
	- It turned out that beyond reducing the dose on the nose of the coils there was not much we could do to improve the situation over the longer mid -section

Energy Deposited In Unshielded Coil (W/uA/(5x20x2)mm^3)

- We focused on the hotspot on the coils
- If we look at the incident energy we can see that the positrons produce the largest contribution

- Look at all particles that hit the hotspot and see where they were after collimator 2
- All particles seem to pass through the acceptance holes in collimator 2 (pictures just shows positrons)

Positrons through the acceptance

- While electrons are bent radially outward, positrons will move towards the beampipe
- Secondly electrons are focused in the azimuth by the magnetic field the positrons will be defocused on the lower leg of the coil
- Depending on the energy the positrons could easily find their way to the size of each coil
	- Looking at one coils shows the two acceptance holes on each side are the sources

- These positrons come from the target and collimator 1 and 2
- The low energy "plateau" is from interceptions in collimator $\tilde{1}$ and 2
- The high energy positrons come from the target directly
	- These high energy positrons will also have a small incidence angle on the coils (have to pass through collimator 2)

Energy deposition in G4

- Energy deposition in materials (similar for Cu and epoxy) differs drastically with energy
	- High energy (100MeV) particles have a track lengths in material that is almost 6 radiation lengths
	- 10MeV stops around 1 radiation length while lower energy seem to deposit all of the energy "on the surface" within half of radiation length
- This is especially important for the 1mm thick epoxy

Solution

- Shielding the side of the coils (in the region of the hotspot) should significantly reduce the radiation deposition in the bottom of the coils
- We have enough space to place these plates without interfering the with the "signal" envelopes
	- Most of the hotspot lies underneath (radially) the envelopes

Results and next steps

- The shielding proved to be very efficient getting the dose in the "affected" region from 320 to ~37 MGy
- While this will not be the final design it shows that we have a very efficient way to handle the radiation load on the epoxy (and copper)
	- Optimization of the thickness and location will follow

Backup

- Folding all the septants gives us enough statistics to see where the positrons are coming from
	- Restricting them would be quite difficult

• "high energy" positrons come from the target; low energy from Collimator 1 and 2

• "high energy" positrons come from the target; low energy from Collimator 1 and 2

Incident energy after Collimator 2 (1mm W)

- Compared to the unshielded coil the low energy tracks do not make it at all to the shielded coil
- The high energy particle population is significantly reduced

Incident energy at coil (1mm)

- Looking at the incident energy on the W plate we can recognize the same distribution we had on the unshielded plate
- Looking at the epoxy we can see that these tracks get moderated and we have low energy tracks again (these will deposit a lot of their energy on the surface)
- This simulation showed a \sim 4x reduction in dose (we moved on to 2mm)

\star Stony Brook University